

Statistical properties of the Green function in finite size for Anderson Localization models with multifractal eigenvectors

Cécile Monthus

Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, CEA, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

For Anderson Localization models with multifractal eigenvectors on disordered samples containing N sites, we analyze in a unified framework the consequences for the statistical properties of the Green function. We focus in particular on the imaginary part of the Green function at coinciding points $G_{xx}^I(E - i\eta)$ and study the scaling with the size N of the moments of arbitrary indices q when the broadening follows the scaling $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$. For the standard scaling regime $\delta = 1$, we find in the two limits $c \ll 1$ and $c \gg 1$ that the moments are governed by the anomalous exponents $\Delta(q)$ of individual eigenfunctions, without the assumption of strong correlations between the weights of consecutive eigenstates at the same point. For the non-standard scaling regimes $0 < \delta < 1$, we obtain that the imaginary Green function follows some Fréchet distribution in the typical region, while rare events are important to obtain the scaling of the moments. We describe the application to the case of Gaussian multifractality and to the case of linear multifractality.

I. INTRODUCTION

For Anderson Localization models [1] defined on N sites, or for $N \times N$ random matrices, the statistics of the N eigenvalues E_n and of the corresponding eigenvectors $|\phi_n\rangle$

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^N E_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| \quad (1)$$

have been much studied, in particular at Anderson transitions where eigenvectors display multifractality (see the reviews [2–4] and references therein). Besides short-ranged Anderson models in finite dimension $d > 2$, where multifractality occurs only at the critical point between the exponentially localized phase and the delocalized ergodic phase, there exists other Anderson models where multifractality appears also outside the critical point. In the localized phase, multifractality occurs whenever localized eigenfunctions are only power-law localized with respect to the size N of the Hilbert space (see more details in the introduction of [5]) : examples are (i) Anderson models in finite dimension d with power-law hoppings (ii) nearest-neighbor Anderson models on trees or on other spaces of effective infinite dimensionality where the Hilbert space grows exponentially with the linear length (iii) some matrix models where the matrix elements are rescaled with some power of the size N of the matrix. In the delocalized phase, the existence of a non-ergodic phase displaying multifractality has been much debated recently, in particular for the short-ranged Anderson model on the Bethe lattice, either with boundaries [6, 7] or without boundaries [8–12], and for random matrix models, like the Generalized-Rosenzweig-Potter (GRP) Matrix model [13, 14], or the Lévy Matrix model [15–17].

Whenever eigenfunctions display multifractality, it is important to understand the consequences for the statistics of the Green function defined for the complex variable $z = E - i\eta$

$$G_{xy}(z = E - i\eta) \equiv \langle x | \frac{1}{z - H} | y \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\langle x | \phi_n \rangle \langle \phi_n | y \rangle}{(E - E_n) - i\eta} \quad (2)$$

The observable that has been the most discussed is the imaginary part at coinciding points $x = y$, because it leads to the local density of states $\rho_x(E)$

$$\rho_x(E) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n^2(x) \delta(E - E_n) \quad (3)$$

in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$

$$G_{xx}^I(z = E - i\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n^2(x) \frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \pi \rho_x(E) \quad (4)$$

However since one is interested in the double limit $N \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\eta \rightarrow 0$, it is essential to state what is the scaling relation between the two variables in terms of some exponent $\delta > 0$

$$\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta} \quad (5)$$

In the standard scaling regime $\delta = 1$, where the broadening $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ scales as the level spacing

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{N\rho(E)} \quad (6)$$

(where $\rho(E) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(E - E_n)$ is the global density of states), the local density of states is expected to inherit the statistical properties of a single eigenfunction $\phi_E^2(x)$ of energy E [2–4]

$$G_{xx}^I(z = E - i\frac{c}{N}) \underset{law}{\simeq} \pi N \phi_E^2(x) \quad (7)$$

although this relation has been recently found to be violated for non-integer moments of indices $0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$ for the Anderson Localization model on the Cayley tree [7]. A natural question is whether this violation is very specific to the tree geometry with boundaries or whether it could also happen in other cases. In addition, this study concerning the delocalized non-ergodic phase on the Cayley tree [7] and another recent work [13] concerning the delocalized non-ergodic phase of the Generalized-Rosenzweig-Potter (GRP) Matrix model [14] both suggest that it is also interesting to consider the non-standard scaling of Eq. 5 with an exponent $0 < \delta < 1$, so that the broadening η is much bigger than the level spacing Δ and contains a sub-extensive number of levels

$$M_{av} = \frac{\eta}{\Delta} = c\rho(E)N^{1-\delta} \quad (8)$$

The aim of the present work is to re-analyze in a unified framework the general statistical properties of the Green function in finite size N as a function of the broadening scaling $\eta = c/N^\delta$ whenever the eigenfunctions display multifractality. To simplify the discussion, the amplitudes are assumed to be real variables $\phi_n(x) = \langle x | \phi_n \rangle = \langle \phi_n | x \rangle$, so that the real part and the imaginary part of the Green function of Eq. 2 read respectively

$$\begin{aligned} G_{xy}^R(z = E - i\eta) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n(x)\phi_n(y) \frac{(E - E_n)}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \\ G_{xy}^I(z = E - i\eta) &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n(x)\phi_n(y) \frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The paper is organized as follows. Section II contains a reminder on the multifractal formalism for eigenfunctions. Section III is devoted to the statistics of the real part $G_{xy}^R(E)$ for $\eta = 0$, both at coinciding points $x = y$ and at different points $x \neq y$: the emphasis is on the heavy-tails that appear and on the system-size dependence of their amplitudes. We then turn to the statistics of the imaginary part $G_{xx}^I(E - i\eta)$ in section IV and explain the role of the scaling of the broadening $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$: the standard scaling $\delta = 1$ is then studied in the limit $c \ll 1$ in section V and in the limit $c \gg 1$ in section VI, while the non-standard scaling $0 < \delta < 1$ is analyzed in section VII. Our conclusions are summarized in section VIII.

II. REMINDER ON THE MULTIFRACTAL STATISTICS OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

After its introduction in the field of turbulence (see the book [18] and references therein), the notion of multifractality has turned out to be relevant in many areas of physics (see for instance [19–25]), in particular at critical points of random classical spin models [26–34]. More recently, multifractality has been used to analyze the ground state wavefunction in pure quantum spin models [35–48], and in the field of Many-Body-Localization models [49–54]. In this section, we recall the usual multifractal properties for Anderson Localization models [2–4] that will be useful in the present paper: we stress that we consider only the usual framework where all sites have the same statistical properties. In particular, this excludes the case of the Anderson Localization model on the tree geometry with boundaries where the sites have different properties as a function of their positions between the root and the boundaries.

A. Multifractal exponents $\tau(q) = (q-1)D(q) = (q-1) + \Delta(q)$

The weight

$$w_n \equiv |\langle x | \phi_n \rangle|^2 \quad (10)$$

of the eigenvector $|\phi_n\rangle$ on the site x has to satisfy the normalization of the eigenfunction

$$\sum_{x=1}^N |\langle x | \phi_n \rangle|^2 = 1 \quad (11)$$

As a consequence, the weight w_n is a random variable distributed with some normalized probability distribution $\Pi_N(w)$ defined on $0 \leq w \leq 1$

$$\int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) = 1 \quad (12)$$

and the first moment is fixed to be

$$\int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w = \frac{1}{N} \quad (13)$$

A convenient way to characterize the statistics of w is via the scaling with the size N of the moments of arbitrary index $q > 0$

$$Y_q(N) \equiv N \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w^q \propto N^{-\tau(q)} \quad (14)$$

Since delocalized eigenfunctions are characterized by the single scaling $w \propto \frac{1}{N}$ for all weights and correspond to the exponents

$$Y_q^{deloc}(N) \propto N^{1-q} \quad (15)$$

it is useful to introduce the generalized fractal dimensions

$$D(q) = \frac{\tau(q)}{q-1} \quad (16)$$

It is also convenient to introduce the anomalous exponents

$$\Delta(q) = \tau(q) - (q-1) \quad (17)$$

that are expected to govern the scaling of the moments of the local density of states, if one assumes that Eq. 7 is valid, as usually done [2-4]

$$\overline{[\rho_x(E)]^q} \propto \overline{[N\phi_E^2(x)]^q} \propto N^{q-1-\tau(q)} = N^{-\Delta(q)} \quad (18)$$

B. Multifractal spectrum $f(\alpha)$

The probability distribution $\pi_N(\alpha)$ of the exponent

$$\alpha \equiv -\frac{\ln w}{\ln N} \quad (19)$$

is obtained from $\Pi_N(w)$ by the change of variable $w = N^{-\alpha}$

$$\pi_N(\alpha) = (\ln N) N^{-\alpha} \Pi_N(N^{-\alpha}) \quad (20)$$

The multifractal spectrum $f(\alpha)$ is defined by the scaling of the leading power-law behavior in N

$$\pi_N(\alpha) \propto N^{f(\alpha)-1} \quad (21)$$

Its maximum unity corresponds to the typical exponent α_0

$$f(\alpha_0) = 1 \quad (22)$$

All others values $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ satisfying $f(\alpha) < 1$ occur with probabilities that decay with N as the power-laws $N^{-(1-f(\alpha))}$. When one consider the N weights of a given sample, the smallest exponent $\alpha_{smallest}$ and the biggest exponent $\alpha_{biggest}$ that can be seen are the values occuring with a probability of order $\frac{1}{N}$ corresponding to the values where the multifractal spectrum vanishes

$$f(\alpha_{smallest}) = 0 = f(\alpha_{biggest}) \quad (23)$$

The saddle-point calculus in α of the moments of Eq. 14

$$Y_q(N) \equiv N \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w^q = N \int d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) N^{-\alpha q} \propto \int d\alpha N^{f(\alpha) - \alpha q} \quad (24)$$

yields that it is dominated by some saddle-point value α_q

$$-\tau(q) = \max_{\alpha} (f(\alpha) - q\alpha) = f(\alpha_q) - q\alpha_q \quad (25)$$

so that $\tau(q)$ and $f(\alpha)$ are related via the Legendre transform

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(q) + f(\alpha) &= q\alpha \\ \tau'(q) &= \alpha \\ f'(\alpha) &= q \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

This means that each moment of index $q > 0$ is a priori dominated by a different value α_q , that optimizes the rare probability $N^{f(\alpha_q)-1}$ that decay with N for any $\alpha_q \neq \alpha_0$, with the contribution $w^{-\alpha q}$ to the moment of order q . It is useful to keep in mind the following special values :

(i) for $q = 0$, the normalization of Eq. 12 means that $Y_0(N) = N$ corresponding to $\tau(0) = -1$ and to $f(\alpha_0) = 1$, where

$$\alpha_0 = - \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) \frac{\ln w}{\ln N} \quad (27)$$

represents the typical exponent (Eq. 22).

(ii) for $q = 1$, the scaling of the first moment (Eq 13) means that $Y_1(N) = N$ corresponding to $\tau(q = 1) = 0$ and

$$\alpha_1 = f(\alpha_1) = D(1) \quad (28)$$

is called the 'information dimension'.

(iii) for $q \rightarrow +\infty$, $Y_q(N)$ is dominated by the minimal exponent introduced in Eq. 22

$$\alpha_{+\infty} = \alpha_{smallest} = D(+\infty) \quad (29)$$

To be more concrete, it is now useful to mention two simples examples.

C. Example of the log-normal distribution for the weights

The log-normal distribution of the weight w

$$\Pi_N(w) \simeq \frac{1}{w \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 \ln N}} e^{-\frac{(\ln w + \alpha_0 \ln N)^2}{2\sigma^2 \ln N}} \quad (30)$$

corresponds to the Gaussian distribution of the exponent α (Eq 20)

$$\pi(\alpha) = (\ln N) N^{-\alpha} \Pi_N(N^{-\alpha}) = \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} N^{-\frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (31)$$

so that the multifractal spectrum of Eq. 21 is quadratic

$$f(\alpha) = 1 - \frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \quad (32)$$

The minimal exponent α_{min} and the maximal exponent α_{max} of Eq. 23

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{smallest} &= \alpha_0 - \sqrt{2\sigma^2} \\ \alpha_{biggest} &= \alpha_0 + \sqrt{2\sigma^2} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The moments of arbitrary index $q > 0$ reads

$$Y_q(N) = N \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w^q = N \int_0^{+\infty} d\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} e^{-\alpha q \ln N} = N^{1 + \frac{q^2\sigma^2}{2} - \alpha_0 q} \quad (34)$$

The condition on the first moment (Eq 13) fixes the parameter

$$\sigma^2 = 2(\alpha_0 - 1) \quad (35)$$

so that Eq. 34 becomes

$$Y_q(N) = N^{(1-q)[1-(\alpha_0-1)q]} \quad (36)$$

corresponding to the generalized dimensions (Eq. 16)

$$D(q) = 1 - (\alpha_0 - 1)q \quad (37)$$

D. Example of the truncated power-law distribution for the weights

The case of a truncated power-law of Lévy index $0 < \nu < 1$

$$\Pi_N(w) = \frac{(1-\nu)}{N w^{1+\nu}} \theta(a N^{-\frac{1}{\nu}} \leq w \leq 1) \quad (38)$$

where

$$a^\nu = \frac{1-\nu}{\nu} \quad (39)$$

corresponds for the exponent α to the distribution (Eq 20)

$$\pi_N(\alpha) = (\ln N) N^{-\alpha} \Pi_N(N^{-\alpha}) = c(\ln N) N^{\alpha\nu-1} \theta\left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{\ln a}{\ln N}\right) \quad (40)$$

so that the multifractal spectrum of Eq. 21 is linear

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha\nu \theta\left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \quad (41)$$

with the typical exponent $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\nu}$ and the smallest exponent $\alpha_{smallest} = 0$.

The behavior of the moments

$$Y_q(N) = N \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w^q = (1-\nu) \int_{aN^{-\frac{1}{\nu}}}^1 dw w^{q-1-\nu} = (1-\nu) \frac{1 - a^{q-\nu} N^{\frac{\nu-q}{\nu}}}{q-\nu} \quad (42)$$

depend on the sign of $(q - \nu)$:

(i) for $q > \nu$, they remain finite

$$Y_{q>\nu}(N) \underset{N \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{1-\nu}{q-\nu} \quad (43)$$

(ii) for $q < \nu$, they diverge as

$$Y_{q<\nu}(N) \simeq (1-\nu) \frac{a^{q-\nu} N^{1-\frac{q}{\nu}}}{\nu-q} = \frac{\nu}{\nu-q} a^q N^{1-\frac{q}{\nu}} \quad (44)$$

So the generalized fractal dimensions $D(q)$ de Eq. 16 read

$$\begin{aligned} D(q) &= \frac{1-\frac{q}{\nu}}{1-q} \quad \text{for } q \leq \nu \\ D(q) &= 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq \nu \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

For $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, this spectrum is well-known as the ‘Strong Multifractality’ critical spectrum [55, 56] : it appears in particular at Anderson Localization Transition in the limit of infinite dimension $d \rightarrow +\infty$ [4] or in long-ranged power-law hoppings in one-dimension [57–73], in the generalized Rosenzweig-Potter matrix model of [14], and in the Lévy Matrix Model [5]. Recently, it has been also found for the Many-Body-Localization transition [53, 54].

For $\nu < \frac{1}{2}$, Eq 45 describes the multifractal properties of the localized phase of some of these models, as found in [5, 14, 53, 54].

E. Multifractality and correlations

Whenever the weight $w_n(x) = \phi_n^2(x)$ of the eigenfunction ϕ_n at sites x follows some multifractal statistics as described above, one expects that there exists some correlations between the weights involving different eigenfunctions and/or different sites [2–4]. However for each given observable involving different weights, the important question in practice is whether these correlations need to be taken into account to evaluate the dominant scaling or not. Let us consider two explicit examples of observables.

A first example concerns the Inverse Participation Ratios (I.P.R.)

$$I_q(N) \equiv \sum_{x=1}^N [\phi_n^2(x)]^q \quad (46)$$

that involve the weights of a given eigenstate ϕ_n on all the sites $x = 1, \dots, N$ of the disordered sample. In the multifractal literature [2–4], these I.P.R. are governed by the same multifractal exponents $\tau(q)$ introduced above for the statistics of a single weight

$$I_q(N) \propto N^{-\tau(q)} \propto Y_q(N) \equiv N \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) w^q \quad (47)$$

This means that in the I.P.R. of Eq. 46, the spatial correlations existing between the weights $\phi_n^2(x)$ on different sites x actually do not change the scaling of Eq. 47 that corresponds to a computation neglecting these correlations.

Another example is the local density of states of Eq. 3 or the imaginary part of the Green function at coinciding points (Eq 4) that involve the weights $\phi_n^2(x)$ of the N eigenstates ϕ_n with $n = 1, \dots, N$ on the same site x . Since multifractality means ‘sparsity’, two independent multifractal eigenfunctions are not expected to ‘see’ each other. However at standard Anderson transitions where there is level repulsion, the multifractal consecutive eigenstates have to be strongly correlated in order to ‘see’ each other and to produce level repulsion. On the contrary, when multifractality occurs within the localized phase (see the introduction), there is no level repulsion (Poisson statistics) and the multifractal consecutive eigenstates do not ‘see’ each other and are not expected to be strongly correlated. This raises the question whether the standard assumption of Eq. 7 is valid only in the presence of very strong correlations between the weights of consecutive eigenstates when there is level repulsion, but is violated otherwise : see the recent discussion for the Anderson Localization model on the Cayley tree [7]. This question on the validity of Eq. 7 in general Anderson Localization models with multifractal eigenfunctions has been one of the motivation of the present work.

III. PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION OF THE REAL GREEN FUNCTION $G^R(E)$

In this section, we consider the case $\eta = 0$ and discuss the statistical properties of the real Green function (Eq. 9)

$$G_{xy}^R(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi_n(x)\phi_n(y)}{E - E_n} \quad (48)$$

first for coinciding points $x = y$ and then for different points $x \neq y$.

A. Heavy tail analysis for the probability distribution of G_{xx}^R

The real Green function of Eq. 48 for $x = y$

$$G_{xx}^R(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi_n^2(x)}{E - E_n} \quad (49)$$

may become arbitrarily large $|G^R| \rightarrow +\infty$ only if the external energy E becomes very close $s \rightarrow 0$ to the nearest energy-level E_{n_E}

$$|E - E_{n_E}| = s\Delta \quad (50)$$

where $\Delta = \frac{1}{N\rho(E)}$ is the level spacing of Eq. 6 and where s is an $O(1)$ random variable distributed exponentially

$$R(s) = e^{-s} \quad (51)$$

Then the corresponding term dominates over the others in Eq. 49, and the Green function can be approximated by this biggest term

$$|G_{xx}^R(E)| \underset{s \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{w}{\Delta s} = \frac{N\rho(E)w}{s} \quad (52)$$

where

$$w \equiv \phi_{n_E}^2(x) \quad (53)$$

is the weight of the eigenfunction of the nearest eigenfunction ϕ_{n_E} at site x . Using the probability distribution $\Pi_N(w)$ discussed in the previous section, one obtains by this change of variables that the probability distribution of G_{xx}^R displays the following power-law tail

$$\begin{aligned} P(G_{xx}^R) &\underset{|G_{xx}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \delta\left(|G_{xx}^R| - \frac{N\rho(E)w}{s}\right) \\ &\underset{|G_{xx}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \frac{\delta\left(s - \frac{N\rho(E)w}{|G_{xx}^R|}\right)}{\frac{N\rho(E)w}{s^2}} \\ &\underset{|G_{xx}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{N\rho(E) \int_0^{+\infty} dw \Pi_N(w) w}{(G_{xx}^R)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Taking into account the first moment of the weight distribution (Eq. 13), one obtains the Eq 13 of [15]

$$P(G_{xx}^R) \underset{|G_{xx}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{\rho(E)}{(G_{xx}^R)^2} \quad (55)$$

The amplitude of the heavy-tail is simply given by the global density of states $\rho(E)$ and does not contain any information on the localizations properties of the eigenvectors.

As a final remark, it is interesting to stress that in similar observables where the denominator does not involve an external energy E but differences $(E_n - E_m)$ between two energies of the spectrum, the level repulsion exponent β enters the analysis in $R(s) \propto s^\beta$ and thus appears in the exponent of the heavy-tails : this phenomenon has been much studied in the context of the energy-level-curvature in Anderson localization models [74–79] in quantum chaotic systems and random matrices [80–83], and in Many-Body-Localization models [84, 85].

B. Heavy tail analysis for the probability distribution of $F_{xx}^R = -\partial_E G_{xx}^R$

As proposed in Ref. [15], it is also interesting to consider the derivative with respect to the energy

$$F_{xx}^R(E) = -\partial_E G_{xx}^R(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi_n^2(x)}{(E - E_n)^2} \quad (56)$$

because, as a consequence of the analytic properties of the Green function, it corresponds also to the derivative of the imaginary part of the Green function $G_{xx}^I(z = E - i\eta)$ (Eq 9) with respect to η taken at $\eta \rightarrow 0$

$$F_{xx}^R(E) = \left[\frac{\partial G_{xx}^I(E - i\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right]_{\eta=0} \quad (57)$$

Further explanations on the link with the localization criterion based on the imaginary part of the self-energy can be found in Appendix C of Ref [15].

As above, it can become arbitrarily large $F_{xx}^R \rightarrow +\infty$ only if the external energy E becomes very close $s \rightarrow 0$ to the nearest energy-level E_{n_E} in Eq. 50, and it is then given by

$$F_{xx}^R \underset{s \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{\phi_{n_E}^2(x)}{\Delta^2 s^2} = \frac{N^2 \rho^2(E) w}{s^2} \quad (58)$$

This change of variables yields the heavy tails (Eq 24 of Ref [15])

$$\begin{aligned} P(F^R) &\underset{F_{xx}^R \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^{+\infty} dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \delta \left(F_{xx}^R - \frac{N^2 \rho^2(E) w}{s^2} \right) \\ &\underset{F_{xx}^R \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^{+\infty} dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \frac{\delta \left(s - N \rho(E) \sqrt{\frac{w}{F_{xx}^R}} \right)}{2 N^2 \rho^2(E) \frac{w}{s^3}} \\ &\underset{F_{xx}^R \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{\rho(E) N \int_0^{+\infty} dw \Pi_N(w) w^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(F_{xx}^R)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{\rho(E) Y_{q=\frac{1}{2}}(N)}{(F_{xx}^R)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

So here in contrast to Eq. 55, the amplitude of the heavy-tail contains some information on the localization properties via the generalized moment $Y_{q=\frac{1}{2}}(N)$ of Eq. 14 for the index $q = 1/2$.

C. Heavy tail analysis for the probability distribution of $G_{x \neq y}^R$

As above, the Green function involving two different sites $x \neq y$

$$G_{xy}^R(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\phi_n(x) \phi_n(y)}{E - E_n} \quad (60)$$

can become arbitrarily large $|G_{xy}^R| \rightarrow +\infty$ only if the external energy E becomes very close $s \rightarrow 0$ to the nearest energy-level E_{n_E} in Eq. 50, and it is then given by

$$|G_{xy}^R(E)| \underset{s \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{\sqrt{w_1 w_2}}{\Delta s} = \frac{N \rho(E) \sqrt{w_1 w_2}}{s} \quad (61)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &\equiv \phi_{n_E}^2(x) \\ w_2 &\equiv \phi_{n_E}^2(y) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

are the weights of the eigenfunction ϕ_{n_E} on the two sites $x \neq y$. If one assumes that these two weights are independently drawn with the probability distribution $\Pi_N(w)$, one obtains the following tails

$$\begin{aligned} P(G_{xy}^R) &\underset{|G_{xy}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^1 dw_1 \Pi_N(w_1) \int_0^1 dw_2 \Pi_N(w_2) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \delta \left(|G_{xy}^R| - \frac{N \rho(E) \sqrt{w_1 w_2}}{s} \right) \\ &\underset{|G_{xy}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \int_0^1 dw_1 \Pi_N(w_1) \int_0^1 dw_2 \Pi_N(w_2) \int_0^{+\infty} ds R(s) \frac{\delta \left(s - \frac{N \rho(E) \sqrt{w_1 w_2}}{|G_{xy}^R|} \right)}{\frac{N \rho(E) \sqrt{w_1 w_2}}{s^2}} \\ &\underset{|G_{xy}^R| \rightarrow +\infty}{\simeq} \frac{N \rho(E) \left[\int_0^{+\infty} dw \Pi_N(w) \sqrt{w} \right]^2}{(G_{xy}^R)^2} = \frac{\rho(E) \left(\frac{[Y_{q=\frac{1}{2}}(N)]^2}{N} \right)}{(G_{xy}^R)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

In particular, the scaling with respect to the size N of the amplitude of this heavy-tail

$$\frac{[Y_{q=\frac{1}{2}}(N)]^2}{N} \propto N^{-2\Delta(q=\frac{1}{2})} \quad (64)$$

involves the anomalous dimension $\Delta(q)$ of Eq. 17 for the index $q = \frac{1}{2}$.

D. Discussion

For $\eta = 0$, we have described how various heavy-tails appear in the probability distribution of the Green function or of its derivative with respect to the energy : while the amplitude of the tail in Eq. 55 only contains the global density of states, the amplitudes of the heavy-tails of Eq. 59 and of Eq 63 both involve the generalized moment $Y_{q=\frac{1}{2}}$ that includes some information on the localization properties of the eigenvectors, although it is limited to the specific value $q = \frac{1}{2}$.

In the remaining sections of this paper, we will see how the introduction of some finite-size imaginary part $\eta = \frac{c}{N^s}$ leads to much richer statistical properties for the imaginary part of the Green function, that will involve all the generalized moments $Y_q(N)$ of arbitrary index q .

IV. IMAGINARY PART OF THE GREEN FUNCTION AS A FUNCTION OF THE BROADENING $\eta(N)$

In the remaining of the paper, we focus on the imaginary part of the Green function at coinciding points $x = y$

$$G^I = \sum_{n=1}^N w_n \frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \quad (65)$$

involving the weights

$$w_n \equiv \phi_n^2(x) \quad (66)$$

A. Averaged Value

Since the first moment of the weight $w = \phi_n^2(x)$ is fixed by Eq. 13, the averaged value of Eq. 65

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G^I} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{w_n} \frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \\ &= N \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE_n \rho(E_n) \frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du \rho(E + \eta u) \frac{1}{u^2 + 1} \\ &\underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \pi \rho(E) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

does not contain any information on the localization properties, and does not depend on the scaling relation between η et N .

B. Square regularization of the delta function

Since the Lorentzian is some regularization of the delta function with some width η , it is convenient to replace it by the simpler square regularization of width η

$$\frac{\eta}{(E - E_n)^2 + \eta^2} \underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \pi \delta(E - E_n) \underset{\eta \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{\pi}{\eta} \theta\left(-\frac{\eta}{2} \leq E - E_n \leq \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \quad (68)$$

Then the imaginary part G^I of the Green function becomes a sum over the weights w_n (Eq. 66) for the levels in the energy-window $E - \frac{\eta}{2} \leq E_n \leq E + \frac{\eta}{2}$

$$G^I \simeq \frac{\pi}{\eta} \sum_{n=1}^N w_n \theta \left(E - \frac{\eta}{2} \leq E_n \leq E + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \quad (69)$$

It is then clear that the statistics will strongly depend on the number of states in this energy-window

$$M \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N \theta \left(E - \frac{\eta}{2} \leq E_n \leq E + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \quad (70)$$

C. Role of the scaling of the broadening $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$

The average value of Eq. 70 is given by the ratio between the broadening $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$ and the level spacing $\Delta = \frac{1}{N\rho(E)}$

$$M_{av} = \eta N \rho(E) = \frac{\eta}{\Delta} = c \rho(E) N^{1-\delta} \quad (71)$$

so that one has to distinguish the following cases :

(i) The standard scaling $\delta = 1$ correspond to a fixed number of states in the energy-window

$$M_{av} = c \rho(E) \quad (72)$$

The sum of a finite number of multifractal weights is then expected to produce strong fluctuations for the imaginary part of Eq. 69. In particular, the typical value of the imaginary Green function is expected to involve the typical exponent α_0 (Eq. 27)

$$G_{typ}^I \propto N^{1-\alpha_0} \quad (73)$$

The decay with the size N is thus completely different from the averaged value of Eq. 67 that does not decay with N .

(ii) The scaling $\delta = 0$ where $\eta = c \ll 1$ is small but independent on the size N corresponds to an extensive number of states in the energy-window

$$M_{av} = c \rho(E) N \quad (74)$$

Then one expects that this sum over an extensive number of weights will be effective to reproduce the average value of Eq. 13 so that the imaginary Green function remains concentrated around its averaged value

$$G^I \simeq \frac{\pi}{\eta} \frac{M}{N} = \pi \rho(E) \quad (75)$$

(iii) The non-standard scaling $0 < \delta < 1$ mentioned in the Introduction corresponds to a sub-extensive growth of the number of states

$$M_{av} = c \rho(E) N^{1-\delta} \quad (76)$$

To characterize the statistics of the imaginary Green function in this regime, one needs to analyze the statistics of a sub-extensive number M_{av} of multifractal weights.

In the following sections, we analyze in details the regime (i) of the standart scaling $\delta = 1$ and and the regime (iii) of the non-standard scaling $0 < \delta < 1$.

V. STATISTICS OF THE IMAGINARY GREEN FUNCTION FOR $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ WITH $c \ll 1$

In this section, we consider the scaling $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ with $c \ll 1$: this corresponds to the regime where the averaged number of states in the energy-window is independent of N but very small (Eq. 72)

$$M_{av} = c \rho(E) \ll 1 \quad (77)$$

Then one needs to consider only the nearest level $|E_{n_E} - E| = s\Delta$ as in Eq. 50, where $\Delta = \frac{1}{N\rho(E)}$ is the level spacing and where s is the $O(1)$ random variable distributed exponentially $R(s) = e^{-s}$ (Eq 51) and one obtains the approximation

$$G^I \simeq w_{n_E} \frac{\eta}{\Delta^2 s^2 + \eta^2} = w_{n_E} \frac{c N \rho^2(E)}{s^2 + c^2 \rho^2(E)} \quad (78)$$

A. Scaling of the moments

Eq. 78 yields that the moment of order q reads

$$\overline{(G^I)^q} \simeq \overline{(w_{n_E})^q} \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{-s} \left(\frac{cN\rho^2(E)}{s^2 + c^2\rho^2(E)} \right)^q = \frac{Y_q(N)}{N} \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{-s} \left(\frac{cN\rho^2(E)}{s^2 + c^2\rho^2(E)} \right)^q \quad (79)$$

that one needs to evaluate for $c \ll 1$. One has to distinguish two regions :

(a) In the region $q < \frac{1}{2}$ where s^{-2q} is integrable near the origin $s \rightarrow 0$, one obtains the leading behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\overline{(G^I)^q} \right]_{q < \frac{1}{2}} &\underset{c \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{Y_q(N)}{N} \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{-s} \frac{[cN\rho^2(E)]^q}{s^{2q}} \\ &\underset{c \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} Y_q(N) N^{q-1} c^q \rho^{2q}(E) \Gamma(1-2q) \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

(b) In the region $q > \frac{1}{2}$, where s^{-2q} is not integrable near the origin $s \rightarrow 0$, one needs to make the change of variable $s = c\rho(E)t$ in Eq. 79 to obtain the leading behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\overline{(G^I)^q} \right]_{q > \frac{1}{2}} &\simeq \frac{Y_q(N)}{N} \int_0^{+\infty} c\rho(E) dt e^{-c\rho(E)t} \left(\frac{N}{(t^2 + 1)c} \right)^q \\ &\simeq Y_q(N) N^{q-1} c^{1-q} \rho(E) \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-c\rho(E)t} \frac{1}{(t^2 + 1)^q} \\ &\underset{c \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} Y_q(N) N^{q-1} c^{1-q} \rho(E) \int_0^{+\infty} dt \frac{1}{(t^2 + 1)^q} \\ &\simeq Y_q(N) N^{q-1} c^{1-q} \rho(E) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(q - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(q)} \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

that reproduces in particular the exact averaged value $\overline{G^I} = \pi\rho(E)$ of Eq. 67 for $q = 1$.

Using the multifractal anomalous exponent of Eq. 17

$$Y_q(N) \propto N^{1-q-\Delta(q)} \quad (82)$$

on obtains that for both regions $q < \frac{1}{2}$ and $q > \frac{1}{2}$, the scaling with respect to the size N is given by

$$\overline{(G^I)^q} \propto Y_q(N) N^{q-1} \propto N^{-\Delta(q)} \quad (83)$$

in agreement with the usual expectation of Eq. 18 for the local density of states.

B. Probability distribution

Besides the moments discussed above, it is interesting to consider the probability distribution produced by the change of variables of Eq. 78

$$\begin{aligned} P(G^I) &\simeq \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{-s} \delta \left(G_I - w_{n_E} \frac{cN\rho^2(E)}{s^2 + c^2\rho^2(E)} \right) \\ &\simeq \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{-s} \theta(cG^I \leq wN) \delta \left(s - \rho(E) \sqrt{\frac{wNc}{G^I} \left(1 - \frac{cG^I}{wN} \right)} \right) \frac{\rho(E) \sqrt{cN} \sqrt{w}}{(G^I)^{\frac{3}{2}} 2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{cG^I}{wN}}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\rho(E) \sqrt{cN}}{2(G^I)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^1 dw \Pi_N(w) e^{-\rho(E) \sqrt{\frac{wNc}{G^I} \left(1 - \frac{cG^I}{wN} \right)}} \theta(cG^I \leq wN) \frac{\sqrt{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{cG^I}{wN}}} \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The power-law factor $1/(G^I)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ explains the change at $q = 1/2$ found above for the moments (Eqs 80 and 81) : in particular, all the moments for $q > \frac{1}{2}$ are actually dominated by the cut-off at large scale $\theta(G^I \leq \frac{wN}{c})$.

The presence of the power-law factor $1/(G^I)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ in the probability distribution of the imaginary Green function has been obtained previously for various specific models, in particular for the Lévy matrix model (Eq C3a of Ref [15]), for the Generalized Rosenzweig-Potter model (Eq 9 of Ref [13]), and for Anderson Localization on the Bethe Lattice (Eq 43 of Ref [7]).

VI. STATISTICS OF THE IMAGINARY GREEN FUNCTION FOR $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ WITH $c \gg 1$

In this section, we consider the scaling $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ with $c \gg 1$: this corresponds to the regime where the averaged number of states in the energy-window is independent of N but very large (Eq. 72)

$$M_{av} = c\rho(E) \gg 1 \quad (85)$$

so that the imaginary Green function of Eq. 69 involves a sum over a large number M of weights $w_i = N^{-\alpha_i}$

$$G^I \simeq \frac{\pi}{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^M w_i = \frac{\pi N}{c} \sum_{i=1}^M N^{-\alpha_i} \quad (86)$$

A. Role of the minimal value α_{min} among M exponents

Let us introduce the minimal exponent α_{min} and the maximal exponent α_{max} among these M random exponents α_i drawn independently with the probability distribution $\pi_N(\alpha) \propto N^{f(\alpha)-1}$ (Eq. 20). The discrete sum of Eq. 86 over a large number M of terms can be then approximated by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} G^I &\simeq \frac{\pi N}{c} M \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{\alpha_{max}} d\alpha N^{f(\alpha)-1} N^{-\alpha} \\ &\simeq \pi \rho(E) \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{\alpha_{max}} d\alpha N^{f(\alpha)-\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

This integral over α is exactly the same as for the generalized moment $Y_{q=1}(N)$ of Eq 24 except for the restricted domain of integration $\alpha_{min} < \alpha < \alpha_{max}$, so that there are two possibilities, depending on the position of the saddle-point value $\alpha_{q=1} = f(\alpha_1) = D(1)$ of Eq. 28 :

(a) If $\alpha_{min} \leq \alpha_{q=1}$ then the saddle-point calculus of Eq. 24 can be applied to obtain with $\tau(q=1) = 0$

$$G^I \simeq \pi \rho(E) N^{-\tau(q=1)} = \pi \rho(E) \quad (88)$$

corresponding to the averaged value of Eq 67.

(b) If $\alpha_{q=1} < \alpha_{min}$ then the saddle-point calculus in Eq. 87 takes place at the boundary α_{min} and leads to

$$G^I \simeq \pi \rho(E) N^{f(\alpha_{min})-\alpha_{min}} \quad (89)$$

In this region, the statistics of G^I is thus directly related to the statistics of α_{min} . The cumulative distribution of $\min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ reads for large M (see for instance the books on extreme value statistics [86])

$$\begin{aligned} Prob(\alpha_{min} \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) &= \prod_{i=1}^M \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{+\infty} d\alpha_i \pi_N(\alpha_i) = \left[1 - \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) \right]^M \\ &\underset{M \gg 1}{\simeq} e^{-M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

B. Probability distribution of α_{min} in the typical region

As usual in extreme value statistics [86], it is convenient to introduce the characteristic scale a_M of α_{min} corresponding to a fixed value e^{-1} in the cumulative distribution of Eq. 90

$$1 = M \int_0^{a_M} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) \quad (91)$$

Even if M is large (Eq 85), it does not grow with N , so that a_M remains in the vicinity of the typical value α_0 , where the multifractal spectrum can generically be expanded into the quadratic form

$$f(\alpha) = 1 - \frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} + o((\alpha - \alpha_0)^2) \quad (92)$$

so that the probability distribution $\pi_N(\alpha)$ can be approximated by the Gaussian distribution in this region

$$\pi_N(\alpha) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} \quad (93)$$

To evaluate Eq. 90, we need the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) &= \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha_{min}-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} dv e^{-\frac{v(\alpha_0-\alpha_{min})}{\sigma^2} \ln N - \frac{v^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} N^{-\frac{(\alpha_{min}-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \int_0^{\frac{v(\alpha_0-\alpha_{min}) \ln N}{\sigma^2}} dy \frac{\sigma^2}{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{min}) \ln N} e^{-y - \frac{\sigma^2 y^2}{2(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{min})^2 (\ln N)}} \\ &\stackrel{N \gg 1}{\simeq} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi \ln N (\alpha_0 - \alpha_{min})^2}} N^{-\frac{(\alpha_{min}-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

The characteristic scale a_M defined by Eq. 91

$$1 = M \int_{-\infty}^{a_M} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) \simeq M \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi \ln N (\alpha_0 - a_M)^2}} N^{-\frac{(a_M-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (95)$$

is thus given at leading order by

$$a_M \simeq \alpha_0 - \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \frac{\ln M - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi \ln M)}{\ln N}} \quad (96)$$

In particular since M remains fixed as N grows, it remains close to the typical value α_0 so that the expansion of Eq. 92 is consistent.

As usual in extreme value statistics [86], we now make the change of variables

$$\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \quad (97)$$

to look for the scale b_M that will lead to a rescaled random variable ξ of order $O(1)$. The cumulative distribution of Eq. 90 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) &\simeq e^{-M \int_{-\infty}^{a_M + b_M \xi} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} \\ &\simeq e^{-M \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi \ln N (\alpha_0 - a_M)^2}} N^{-\frac{(a_M-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} N^{\frac{(\alpha_0 - a_M) b_M \xi}{\sigma^2}} N^{-\frac{b_M^2 \xi^2}{2\sigma^2}}} \\ &\simeq e^{-e^{\xi \frac{b_M (\alpha_0 - a_M) \ln N}{\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{b_M^2 \xi^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N}} \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

This yields that the appropriate scale b_M for the width reads

$$b_M = \frac{\sigma^2}{(\alpha_0 - a_M) \ln N} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{2 \ln N (\ln M - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi \ln M))}} \quad (99)$$

and then the distribution of the rescaled variable ξ is the well-known Gumbel distribution [86]

$$\text{Prob}(\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) \simeq e^{-e^\xi} \quad (100)$$

The probability distribution obtained by derivation of the cumulative distribution reads

$$g(\xi) = -\frac{d}{d\xi}(e^{-e^\xi}) = e^{\xi - e^\xi} \quad (101)$$

C. Probability distribution of G^I in the typical region

In this region, the imaginary part of the Green function (Eq. 87) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
G^I &\simeq \pi\rho(E)N \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{\alpha_{max}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) N^{-\alpha} \\
&\simeq \pi\rho(E)N \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{\alpha_{max}} d\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} e^{-\alpha \ln N} \\
&\simeq \pi\rho(E)N \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{2\pi\sigma^2}} N^{-\frac{(\alpha_{min}-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} N^{-\alpha_{min}} \int_0^{\alpha_{max}-\alpha_{min}} dv e^{-v \ln N} e^{\frac{v(\alpha_0-\alpha_{min})}{\sigma^2} \ln N} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2\sigma^2} \ln N} \\
&\simeq \pi\rho(E)N \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2 \ln N}} N^{-\frac{(\alpha_{min}-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} N^{-\alpha_{min}}
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

The replacement $\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi$ of Eq. 97 yields

$$G^I \simeq \pi\rho(E)N \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2 \ln N}} N^{-\frac{(a_M-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} N^{-a_M} N^{\left[\frac{(\alpha_0-a_M)}{\sigma^2}-1\right] b_M \xi} N^{-\frac{b_M^2 \xi^2}{2\sigma^2}} \tag{103}$$

Since Eq 96 yields $\frac{(\alpha_0-a_M)}{\sigma^2} \simeq \sqrt{2\frac{\ln M}{\sigma^2 \ln N}} \ll 1$ and Eq. 99 yields $b_M^2 \ln N \simeq \frac{\sigma^2}{2(\ln M - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi \ln M))} \ll 1$, Eq. 103 simplifies into

$$G^I \simeq G_{typ}^I e^{-\xi b_M \ln N} \tag{104}$$

where ξ is distributed with the Gumbel distribution of Eq. 101, and where the typical value defined as the value for $\xi = 0$ reads using Eq 96

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{typ}^I &\simeq \pi\rho(E)N \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2 \ln N}} N^{-\frac{(a_M-\alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} N^{-a_M} \\
&\simeq \pi\rho(E) \frac{N}{M} \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln M}{\sigma^2 \ln N}} N^{-a_M} \\
&\simeq \pi\rho(E) \frac{N^{1-\alpha_0}}{M} \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln M}{\sigma^2 \ln N}} e^{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 \ln N} [\ln M - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi \ln M)]}
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

In particular, even if there are logarithmic corrections, the leading behavior in N is nevertheless given by $N^{1-\alpha_0}$ and involves the typical exponent α_0 .

Using Eq. 99, it is now useful to introduce the small parameter

$$\mu_N \equiv \frac{1}{b_M \ln N} = \sqrt{\frac{2(\ln M - \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\pi \ln M))}{\sigma^2 \ln N}} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln M}{\sigma^2 \ln N}} \tag{106}$$

Then the change of variables between the Gumbel distributed variable ξ (Eq. 101) and the imaginary Green function of Eq. 104

$$G^I \simeq G_{typ}^I e^{-\frac{\xi}{\mu_N}} \tag{107}$$

yields that the probability distribution of G^I in the scaling region

$$\begin{aligned}
P(G^I) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\xi g(\xi) \delta\left(G^I - G_{typ}^I e^{-\frac{\xi}{\mu_N}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\mu_N (G_{typ}^I)^{\mu_N}}{(G^I)^{1+\mu_N}} e^{-\left(\frac{G_{typ}^I}{G^I}\right)^{\mu_N}}
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

is a Fréchet distribution [86] with a vanishing exponent μ_N (Eq. 106). In particular, this means that all moments $(G^I)^q$ of index $q > 0$ are actually governed by rare events outside this scaling region, and should be thus evaluated from the statistics of α_{min} outside the scaling region of Eq. 100 as we now describe.

D. Multifractal properties of α_{min} and G^I

To evaluate the probability of rare events where G^I is anomalously large with respect to the typical value, we need to evaluate the probability of rare events where α_{min} is anomalously small $\alpha_{min} \ll a_M$, i.e. the cumulative probability of Eq. 90

$$Prob(\alpha_{min} \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) \simeq e^{-M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} \quad (109)$$

is also very small $M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) \ll 1$. As a consequence, the probability distribution obtained by derivation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P_{min}(\alpha_{min}) &= -\frac{d}{d\alpha_{min}} e^{-M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} = M \pi_N(\alpha_{min}) e^{-M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} \\ &\simeq_{\alpha_{min} \ll a_M} M \pi_N(\alpha_{min}) \simeq M N^{f(\alpha_{min})-1} \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

and thus directly reflects the multifractal spectrum $f(\alpha)$. The physical meaning of Eq. 110 is that once an anomalously small exponent has been drawn, the constraint that the other $(M-1)$ exponents have to be bigger disappears because it is satisfied automatically.

When an anomalously small exponent α_{min} has been drawn, the imaginary Green function of Eq. 86 is dominated by the corresponding anomalously big contribution

$$G^I \underset{G^I \gg G_{typ}^I}{\simeq} \frac{\pi}{\eta} N^{-\alpha_{min}} \simeq \frac{\pi}{c} N^{1-\alpha_{min}} \quad (111)$$

so that the moments of index can be directly evaluated using Eq. 110

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(G^I)^q} &\simeq \int d\alpha_{min} M N^{f(\alpha_{min})-1} \left[\frac{\pi}{c} N^{1-\alpha_{min}} \right]^q \\ &\simeq \frac{\pi^q}{c^q} N^{q-1} M \int d\alpha_{min} N^{f(\alpha_{min})-q\alpha_{min}} \\ &\simeq \rho(E) \pi^q N^{q-1} c^{1-q} Y_q(N) \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

that reproduces in particular the exact averaged value $\overline{G^I} = \pi \rho(E)$ of Eq. 67 for $q = 1$.

The dependence with respect to the size N thus involves the anomalous exponents $\Delta(q)$ of Eq. 17

$$\overline{(G^I)^q} \propto N^{-\Delta(q)} \quad (113)$$

Our conclusion is thus that Eq. 7 is valid also in the regime $\eta = \frac{c}{N}$ with $c \gg 1$, and does not require strong correlation between the weights of consecutive eigenstates : here we have assumed that the weights were drawn independently with the multifractal distribution, and we have obtained Eq. 113 as a consequence of the rare-event analysis described above.

VII. STATISTICS OF THE IMAGINARY GREEN FUNCTION FOR $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$ WITH $0 < \delta < 1$

In this section, we consider the scaling $\eta \propto \frac{1}{N^\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < 1$: this corresponds to the regime where the averaged number of states in the energy-window grows sub-extensively with N (Eq. 76)

$$M_{av} = c \rho(E) N^{1-\delta} \quad (114)$$

while the corresponding imaginary Green function is given by (Eq 69)

$$G^I \simeq \frac{\pi N^\delta}{c} \sum_{i=1}^M w_i = \frac{\pi N^\delta}{c} \sum_{i=1}^M N^{-\alpha_i} \quad (115)$$

So we have to adapt the analysis of the previous section concerning the case where M was large but independent of N to the case where M grows as Eq. 114.

A. Statistical properties in the typical region

In the typical region, the sum in Eq. 115 can be replaced by an integration over α (as in Eq 87)

$$G^I \simeq \pi\rho(E)N \int_{\alpha_{min}}^{\alpha_{max}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) N^{-\alpha_i} \quad (116)$$

so that one needs to analyze the statistics of α_{min} via its cumulative distribution of Eq. 90

$$Prob(\alpha_{min} \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) \simeq e^{-M \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} = e^{-c\rho(E)N^{1-\delta} \int_0^{\alpha_{min}} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha)} \quad (117)$$

The characteristic scale a_M of α_{min} can be defined using $\pi_N(\alpha) \propto N^{f(\alpha)-1}$

$$1 = N^{1-\delta} \int_0^{a_M} d\alpha \pi_N(\alpha) \propto N^{1-\delta} \pi_N(a_M) \propto N^{1-\delta} N^{f(a_M)-1} = N^{f(a_M)-\delta} \quad (118)$$

so that at leading order in N it is given by the solution of

$$f(a_M) = \delta \quad (119)$$

As in Eqs 88 and 89, one has to discuss whether the solution a_M of Eq. 119 is smaller or bigger than the saddle-point value $\alpha_{q=1} = f(\alpha_{q=1}) = D(1)$ (Eq. 28) of the generalized moment $Y_{q=1}(N)$ for $q = 1$:

(i) If $a_M \leq \alpha_{q=1}$ i.e. $f(a_M) = \delta \leq D(1) = f(\alpha_{q=1}) = \alpha_{q=1}$, then the saddle-point calculus of Eq. 24 can be applied to obtain with $\tau(q=1) = 0$

$$G^I \simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{-\tau(q=1)} = \pi\rho(E) \quad (120)$$

corresponding to the averaged value of Eq 67.

(ii) if $a_M > \alpha_{q=1}$ i.e. $f(a_M) = \delta > D(1) = f(\alpha_{q=1}) = \alpha_{q=1}$, then the saddle-point calculus in Eq. 87 takes place at the boundary α_{min} and leads to

$$G^I \simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{f(\alpha_{min})-\alpha_{min}} \quad (121)$$

so that here the statistics of G^I is determined by the probability distribution of α_{min} . Let us again make the change of variables as in Eq. 97

$$\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \quad (122)$$

to find the appropriate scale b_M that allows to obtain a rescaled random variable ξ of order $O(1)$. The linearisation

$$f(\alpha_{min}) = f(a_M + b_M \xi) \simeq f(a_M) + b_M \xi f'(a_M) + \dots = \delta + b_M \xi f'(a_M) + \dots \quad (123)$$

yields for the cumulative distribution of Eq. 117

$$Prob(\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) \simeq e^{-c\rho(E)N^{f(a_M+b_M\xi)-\delta}} \simeq e^{-c\rho(E)e^{\xi b_M f'(a_M)} \ln N} \quad (124)$$

The scale b_M is thus given by

$$b_M = \frac{1}{f'(a_M) \ln N} \quad (125)$$

Its decay as $1/(\ln N)$ shows that the linearization of Eq. 123 is appropriate. The limiting distribution for the rescaled variable ξ is then again the Gumbel distribution [86]

$$Prob(\alpha_{min} = a_M + b_M \xi \leq \min(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)) \simeq e^{-c\rho(E)e^{\xi}} \quad (126)$$

Plugging Eq. 97 into Eq. 121 yields

$$\begin{aligned} G^I &\simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{f(a_M+b_M\xi)-(a_M+b_M\xi)} \simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{f(a_M)+b_M\xi f'(a_M)-(a_M+b_M\xi)} \\ &\simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{\delta-a_M} e^{\xi \ln N b_M (f'(a_M)-1)} \simeq \pi\rho(E)N^{\delta-a_M} e^{-\xi(\frac{1}{f'(a_M)}-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

It is thus convenient to introduce the typical value corresponding to the value at $\xi = 0$

$$G_{typ}^I = \pi \rho(E) N^{\delta - a_M} \quad (128)$$

and the index

$$\mu \equiv \frac{f'(a_M)}{1 - f'(a_M)} \quad (129)$$

where a_M is the solution of $f(a_M) = \delta$ (Eq. 119) : as a consequence, the index μ depends on δ and on the multifractal spectrum $f(\alpha)$ but does not depend on N (in contrast to the index μ_N of Eq. 106 in the previous section). In the limiting case $\delta \rightarrow 1^-$ where $a_M \rightarrow \alpha_0^-$ and $f'(a_M) \rightarrow 0$, one obtains $\mu \rightarrow 0$ as it should to match the results of the previous section. In the other limiting case $\delta \rightarrow D(1) = \alpha_{q=1} = f(\alpha_{q=1})$ where $a_M \rightarrow \alpha_{q=1}$ and $f'(a_M) \rightarrow 1$, one obtains $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$.

Eq. 127 then reads

$$G^I \simeq G_{typ}^I e^{-\frac{\xi}{\mu}} \quad (130)$$

so that the Gumbel probability distribution for ξ (Eq. 126)

$$g(\xi) = -\frac{d}{d\xi} e^{-c\rho(E)e^\xi} \simeq c\rho(E) e^{\xi - c\rho(E)e^\xi} \quad (131)$$

transforms into the Fréchet distribution of index μ for G^I

$$\begin{aligned} P(G^I) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\xi g(\xi) \delta\left(G^I - G_{typ}^I e^{-\frac{\xi}{\mu}}\right) \\ &= \frac{c\rho(E)\mu(G_{typ}^I)^\mu}{(G^I)^{1+\mu}} e^{-c\rho(E)\left(\frac{G_{typ}^I}{G^I}\right)^\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

As a consequence, the contribution of the typical region to the moments of indices $q < \mu$ read

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{q < \mu}^{\text{typical region}} &= [c\rho(E)]^{\frac{q}{\mu}} (G_{typ}^I)^q \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-t} t^{-\frac{q}{\mu}} \\ &= [c\rho(E)]^{\frac{q}{\mu}} (G_{typ}^I)^q \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{q}{\mu}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

so that the scaling with the size N directly reflects the scaling of the typical value G_{typ}^I of Eq. 128

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{q < \mu}^{\text{typical region}} \propto (G_{typ}^I)^q \propto N^{(\delta - a_M)q} \quad (134)$$

The moments $q > \mu$ that do not exist for the probability distribution in the scaling region (Eq. 132) are dominated by the rare events outside this scaling region, and should be thus evaluated from the statistics of α_{min} outside the scaling region of Eq. 126 as we now describe. These rare events will also give contributions for the moments $q < \mu$ that should be compared with Eq. 134 to decide what is the biggest contribution between the two.

B. Multifractality analysis in the rare event region

As explained around Eq 110, the probability distribution of α_{min} in the region where α_{min} is anomalously small $\alpha_{min} \ll a_M$ reads

$$P_{min}(\alpha_{min}) \underset{\alpha_{min} \ll a_M}{\simeq} M \pi_N(\alpha_{min}) \simeq c\rho(E) N^{1-\delta} N^{f(\alpha_{min})-1} = c\rho(E) N^{f(\alpha_{min})-\delta} \quad (135)$$

while the corresponding the imaginary Green function is given by (Eq. 111)

$$G^I \underset{G^I \gg G_{typ}^I}{\simeq} \frac{\pi}{\eta} N^{-\alpha_{min}} \simeq \frac{\pi}{c} N^{\delta - \alpha_{min}} \quad (136)$$

The contribution of this rare-event region to the moment of order q reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\overline{(G^I)^q}\right]^{\text{rare region}} &\simeq \int d\alpha_{\min} c \rho(E) N^{f(\alpha_{\min})-\delta} \left[\frac{\pi}{c} N^{\delta-\alpha_{\min}}\right]^q \\
&\simeq \pi^q c^{1-q} \rho(E) N^{(q-1)\delta} \int d\alpha_{\min} N^{f(\alpha_{\min})-q\alpha_{\min}} \\
&\simeq \pi^q c^{1-q} \rho(E) N^{(q-1)\delta} Y_q(N)
\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

In terms of the generalized dimensions $D(q)$ or the anomalous dimension $\Delta(q)$ of Eq. 17

$$Y_q(N) \propto N^{(1-q)D(q)} = N^{1-q-\Delta(q)} \tag{138}$$

the dependence with respect to the size N thus read

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\overline{(G^I)^q}\right]^{\text{rare region}} &\propto N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)} \\
&\propto N^{(1-q)(1-\delta)-\Delta(q)}
\end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

C. Summary on the results in the non-standard scaling regime $0 \leq \delta < 1$

Let us summarize the behaviors obtained in the present section for the non-standard scaling regime $0 \leq \delta < 1$:

- (i) in the region $\delta < D(1)$, the imaginary Green function is expected to be self-averaging (Eq. 120).
- (ii) in the region $\delta > D(1)$, the imaginary Green function displays the following multifractal properties in terms of the index μ of Eq. 129

(ii-a) the moments $q > \mu$ are governed by the rare event scaling of Eq. 139

$$\left[\overline{(G^I)^q}\right]_{q>\mu} \propto N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)} = N^{(1-q)(1-\delta)-\Delta(q)} \tag{140}$$

(ii-b) the moments $q < \mu$ are governed by the biggest contribution between the contribution of the scaling region (Eq. 134) and the contribution of rare event region (Eq. 139)

$$\left[\overline{(G^I)^q}\right]_{q<\mu} \propto \max[N^{(\delta-a_M)q}, N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)}] \tag{141}$$

Let us now describe the explicit results for two simples cases.

D. Application to the Gaussian multifractal spectrum

For the Gaussian multifractal spectrum described in section II C with $1 < \alpha_0 < 2$, the information dimension reads (Eq. 37)

$$D(1) = 2 - \alpha_0 \tag{142}$$

The solution of $f(a_M) = \delta$ (Eq. 119) yields

$$a_M = \alpha_0 - 2\sqrt{(\alpha_0 - 1)(1 - \delta)} \tag{143}$$

The derivative

$$f'(a_M) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{\alpha_0 - 1}} \tag{144}$$

yields the index μ of Eq. 129

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0 - 1}{1 - \delta}} - 1} \tag{145}$$

The summary of section VII C becomes

(i) for $0 < \delta < D(1) = 2 - \alpha_0$, the imaginary Green function is self-averaging.

(ii) for $D(1) < \delta < 1$, the imaginary Green function displays multifractal properties in terms of the index μ of Eq. 129 :

(ii-a) the moments $q > \mu$ are governed by Eq. 140 using $D(q)$ of Eq. 37

$$\left[\overline{(G^I)^q} \right]_{q > \mu} \propto N^{(1-q)(1-\delta-(\alpha_0-1)q)} \quad (146)$$

(ii-b) the moments $q < \mu$ are governed by Eq. 141

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{q < \mu} \propto \max[N^{(\delta-\alpha_0+2\sqrt{(\alpha_0-1)(1-\delta)})q}, N^{(1-q)(1-\delta-(\alpha_0-1)q)}] \quad (147)$$

E. Application to the linear multifractal spectrum

For the linear Gaussian multifractal spectrum described in section II D with $0 < \nu < 1$, the information dimension vanishes (Eq. 45)

$$D(1) = 0 \quad (148)$$

The solution of $f(a_M) = \delta$ (Eq. 119) yields

$$a_M = \frac{\delta}{\nu} \quad (149)$$

The derivative

$$f'(a_M) = \nu \quad (150)$$

yields the index μ of Eq. 129

$$\mu = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} > \nu \quad (151)$$

The summary of section VII C becomes

(i) the self-averaging region $\delta < D(1) = 0$ does not exist.

(ii) for $0 < \delta < 1$, the multifractal properties are as follows :

(ii-a) for $q > \mu = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu}$, Eq. 140 reads using $D(q > \mu > \nu) = 0$ (Eq 45)

$$\left[\overline{(G^I)^q} \right]_{q > \mu} \propto N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)} = N^{(q-1)\delta} \quad (152)$$

(ii-b) for $q < \mu$, Eq. 141 reads

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{q < \mu} \propto \max[N^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}q}, N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)}] \quad (153)$$

Since $D(q)$ changes at $q = \nu$ (Eq 45), one needs to distinguish further the two regions :

- for $\nu < q < \mu$ where $D(q) = 0$, Eq. 153 yields

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{\nu < q < \mu} \propto \max[N^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}q}, N^{(q-1)\delta}] = N^{(q-1)\delta} \quad (154)$$

- for $q < \nu$, using Eq. 45, Eq. 153 becomes

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right]_{q < \nu} \propto \max[N^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}q}, N^{1-\frac{q}{\nu}+(q-1)\delta}] = N^{1-\frac{q}{\nu}+(q-1)\delta} \quad (155)$$

In conclusion, all the moments can be actually summarized by the single rare event formula of Eq. 139

$$\left[\int dG^I P(G^I) (G^I)^q \right] \propto N^{(1-q)(D(q)-\delta)} \quad (156)$$

in terms of generalized dimension $D(q)$ of Eq. 45 that changes of behavior at $q = \nu$.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have considered Anderson Localization models defined on N sites : we have assumed the multifractality of eigenvectors, and we have studied the consequences for the statistical properties of the Green function $G_{xy}(E - i\eta)$.

After the analysis of the heavy-tails appearing in the probability of the real Green function $G_{xy}^R(E)$ for $\eta = 0$, we have focused on the statistical properties of the imaginary Green function $G_{xx}^I(E - i\eta)$ depending on the scaling relation between the broadening $\eta = \frac{c}{N^\delta}$ and the finite size N :

(a) For the standard scaling $\delta = 1$, we have analyzed the two regimes $c \ll 1$ and $c \gg 1$, and we have found that the moments of the imaginary Green function are governed by the anomalous exponents $\Delta(q)$ in both cases, even if the probability distributions are very different. Our conclusion is thus that the standard equivalence of Eq. 7 is valid without the assumption of strong correlations between the weights.

(b) For the non-standard scaling $0 < \delta < 1$, we have derived the Fréchet probability distribution for the imaginary Green function in the typical region and analyzed the multifractal properties of the moments. We have described the application to the case of Gaussian multifractality and to the case of linear multifractality.

-
- [1] “50 years of Anderson Localization”, E. Abrahams Ed, World Scientific (2010).
 - [2] M. Janssen, Phys. Rep. 295, 1 (1998).
 - [3] A.D. Mirlin, Phys. Rep. 326, 259 (2000).
 - [4] F. Evers and A.D. Mirlin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1355 (2008).
 - [5] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. 093304 (2016).
 - [6] C. Monthus and T. Garel, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44, 145001 (2011).
 - [7] K.S. Tikhonov and A.D. Mirlin, arXiv:1608.00331.
 - [8] G. Biroli, A.C. Ribeiro Teixeira and M. Tarzia, arxiv:12117334.
 - [9] A. De Luca, B.L. Altshuler, V.E. Kravtsov and A. Scardicchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 046806 (2014).
 - [10] K.S. Tikhonov, A.D. Mirlin and M.A. Skvortsov, arXiv:1604.05353.
 - [11] B.L. Altshuler, E. Cuevas, L.B. Ioffe and V.E. Kravtsov, arXiv:1605.02295.
 - [12] I. García-Mata, O. Giraud, B. Georgeot, J. Martin, R. Dubertrand and G. Lemarié, arXiv:1609.05857.
 - [13] D. Facchetti, P. Vivo and G. Biroli, EPL 115, 47003 (2016).
 - [14] V.E. Kravtsov, I.M. Khaymovich, E. Cuevas and M. Amini, New. J. Phys. 17, 122002 (2015).
 - [15] P. Cizeau and J.P. Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. E 50, 1810 (1994).
 - [16] E. Tarquini, G. Biroli and M. Tarzia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 010601 (2016).
 - [17] C. Monthus, arXiv:1609.01121.
 - [18] U. Frisch, “Turbulence, the legacy of A.N. Kolmogorov”, Cambridge University Press (1995).
 - [19] T.C. Halsey, M.H. Jensen, L.P. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia and B. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. A 33, 1141 (1986).
 - [20] G. Paladin and A. Vulpiani, Phys. Rep. 156, 147 (1987).
 - [21] H.E. Stanley and P. Meakin, Nature 335, 405 (1988).
 - [22] A. Aharony and J. Feder Eds, *Fractals in Physics*, Essays in honour of B.B. Mandelbrot, North Holland (1990).
 - [23] P. Meakin, *Fractals, scaling and growth far from equilibrium*, Cambridge (1998).
 - [24] D. Harte, *Multifractals, Theory and Applications*, Chapman and Hall (2001).
 - [25] B. Duplantier, *Conformal Random Geometry*, Les Houches, Session LXXXIII, 2005, Mathematical Statistical Physics, Eds A. Bovier et al., 101, Elsevier (2006).
 - [26] A.W.W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B 330, 639 (1990).
 - [27] J.L. Jacobsen and J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys., B515, 701 (1998).
 - [28] T. Olsson and A.P. Young, Phys. Rev., B60, 3428 (1999).
 - [29] C. Chatelain and B. Berche, Nucl. Phys., B572, 626 (2000).
 - [30] C. Chatelain, B. Berche and L.N. Shchur, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. 34, 9593 (2001).
 - [31] G. Palágyi, C. Chatelain, B. Berche and F. Iglói, Eur. Phys. J. B13, 357 (2000).
 - [32] B. Berche and C. Chatelain, in *Order, disorder, and criticality*, ed. by Yu. Holovatch, World Scientific, Singapore 2004, p.146.
 - [33] M.J. Thill and H.J. Hilhorst, J. Phys. I 6, 67 (1996).
 - [34] C. Monthus, B. Berche and C. Chatelain, J. Stat. Mech. P12002 (2009).
 - [35] J.M. Stephan, S. Furukawa, G. Misguich and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B 80, 184421 (2009).
 - [36] J.M. Stephan, G. Misguich and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B 82, 125455 (2010).
 - [37] J.M. Stephan, G. Misguich and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195128 (2011).
 - [38] M.P. Zaletel, J.H. Bardarson and J.E. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 020402 (2011).
 - [39] Y.Y. Atas and E. Bogomolny, Phys. Rev. E 86, 021104 (2012).
 - [40] H.W. Lau and P. Grassberger, Phys. Rev. E 87, 022128 (2013).
 - [41] Y.Y. Atas and E. Bogomolny, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 372, 20120520 (2014).

- [42] D.J. Luitz, F. Alet and N. Laflorencie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 057203 (2014).
- [43] D.J. Luitz, F. Alet and N. Laflorencie, Phys. Rev. B 89, 165106 (2014).
- [44] D.J. Luitz, F. Alet and N. Laflorencie, J. Stat. Mech. P08007 (2014).
- [45] D.J. Luitz, X. Plat, N. Laflorencie and F. Alet, Phys. Rev. B 90, 125105 (2014).
- [46] J.M. Stephan, Phys. Rev. B 90, 045424 (2014).
- [47] F.C. Alcaraz and M.A. Rajabpour, Phys. Rev. B 90, 075132 (2014);
F.C. Alcaraz and M.A. Rajabpour, arXiv:1501.02852.
- [48] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. P04007 (2015).
- [49] A. de Luca and A. Scardicchio, EPL 101, 37003 (2013).
- [50] D.J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie and F. Alet, Phys. Rev. B 91, 081103 (2015).
- [51] X. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 92, 214204 (2015).
- [52] E.J. Torres-Herrera and L.S. Santos, Phys. Rev. B 92, 014208 (2015).
- [53] C. Monthus, Entropy 18, 122 (2016).
- [54] C. Monthus, J. Stat. Mech. 073301 (2016).
- [55] A.D. Mirlin and Y.V. Fyodorov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 526 (1994).
- [56] A.D. Mirlin, Y.V. Fyodorov, A. Mildenberger and F. Evers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 046803 (2006).
- [57] L.S. Levitov, Europhys. Lett. 9, 83 (1989).
- [58] L.S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 547 (1990).
- [59] B.L. Altshuler and L.S. Levitov, Phys. Rep. 288, 487 (1997).
- [60] L.S. Levitov, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 8, 5, 507 (1999).
- [61] F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3690 (2000);
A.D. Mirlin and F. Evers, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7920 (2000).
- [62] Y.V. Fyodorov, A. Ossipov and A. Rodriguez, J. Stat. Mech. L12001 (2009).
- [63] Y.V. Fyodorov, A. Kupiainen and C. Webb. arXiv:1509.01366.
- [64] O. Yevtushenko and V. E. Kratsov, J. Phys. A 36, 8265 (2003).
- [65] O. Yevtushenko and A. Ossipov, J. Phys. A 40, 4691 (2007).
- [66] S. Kronmüller, O. M. Yevtushenko and E. Cuevas, J. Phys. A 43, 075001 (2010).
- [67] V. E. Kratsov, A. Ossipov, O. M. Yevtushenko and E. Cuevas, Phys. Rev. B 82, 161102(R) (2010).
- [68] V. E. Kratsov, A. Ossipov, O. M. Yevtushenko, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 305003.
- [69] V. E. Kratsov, O. M. Yevtushenko, P. Snajberk and E. Cuevas, Phys. Rev. E 86, 021136 (2012)
- [70] E. Bogomolny and O. Giraud, Phys. Rev. E 84, 036212 (2012).
- [71] E. Bogomolny and O. Giraud, Phys. Rev. E 84, 046208 (2012).
- [72] E. Bogomolny and O. Giraud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 044101 (2011).
- [73] C. Monthus and T. Garel, J. Stat. Mech. (2010) P09015.
- [74] J.T. Edwards and D.J. Thouless, J. Phys. C Solid State Phys. 5, 807 (1972);
D.J. Thouless, Phys. Rep. 13, 93 (1994).
- [75] W.L. Mc Millan, Phys. Rev. B 31, 344 (1985).
- [76] C.M. Canali, C. Basu, W. Stephan and V.E. Kravtsov, Phys. Rev. B 54, 1431 (1996);
C. Basu, C.M. Canali, V.E. Kravtsov, I.V. Yurkevich, Phys. Rev. B 57, 14174 (1998).
- [77] D. Braun, E. Hofstetter, A. MacKinnon and G. Montambaux, Phys. Rev. B 55, 7557 (1997).
- [78] I.K. Zharekeshev and B. Kramer, Physica 266, 450 (1999).
- [79] S.N. Evangelou, New J. Phys. 6, 200 (2004).
- [80] D. Saher, F. Haake and P. Gaspard, Phys. Rev. A 44, 7841 (1991).
- [81] J. Zakrzewski and D. Delande, Phys. Rev. E 47, 1650 (1993);
J. Zakrzewski, D. Delande and Marek Kus, Phys. Rev. E 47, 1665 (1993).
- [82] F. von Oppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 798 (1994);
F. von Oppen, Phys. Rev. E 51, 2647 (1995).
- [83] Y.V. Fyodorov and H.J. Sommers, Z. Phys. B 99, 123 (1995).
- [84] M. Filippone, P.W. Brouwer, J. Eisert and F. von Oppen, arXiv:1606.07291.
- [85] C. Monthus, arXiv:1607.00750.
- [86] E.J. Gumbel, “Statistics of extreme” (Columbia University Press, NY 1958);
J. Galambos, “The asymptotic theory of extreme order statistics” (Krieger, Malabar, FL 1987).